UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,416	12/30/2003	Thomas B. Haverstock	TBH-00100	7845
28960 7590 03/16/2007 HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP			EXAMINER	
162 NORTH W	OLFE ROAD		ALEXANDER, REGINALD	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94086			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/16/2007	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
0.00	40/740 446	1	
O (C' A - 4' O	10/748,416	HAVERSTOCK, THOMAS B.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication riod for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl on. beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH estatute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
atus			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>25 January 2007</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und			
sposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
plication Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country. The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority docu</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docu</li></ul>		plication No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			
application from the International B			
* See the attached detailed Office action for		eceived.	
achment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
	10) raper 140(5)/		

Application/Control Number: 10/748,416

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Card.

There is disclosed in Card a filtering device, comprising: a vessel 11 having an opening 13 at a proximal end and a closed distal end 12, wherein the interior of the vessel has a cross-section with a dimension and the dimension varies with longitudinal distance from the opening such that the vessel has a plurality of dimensions; a compressible filter assembly 15, comprising a compressible filter element 17 and a compressible support element 20 mounted over the compressible filter element and configured to maintain contact with the interior of the vessel for each of the plurality of dimensions; and a plunger element 16 configured for pushing the compressible filter assembly through the vessel.

In regards to the percentage of change in the pluralities of dimensions, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the vessel dimensions, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only

Application/Control Number: 10/748,416

Art Unit: 1761

routine skill in the art. Arriving at applicant's claimed percentage of change would require modifying the size of the vessel and not the overall invention itself. Such a modification is not seen as patentably distinguishing over the prior art.

In regards to the use of the device for separating infusion material from an infused liquid, such is intended use only and provides no structural limitations to the claims. It is apparent that the device of Card could perform such a function. In regards to the vessel being a beverage cup, it is apparent that the vessel of Card could be used for beverages.

Claims 3-6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Card in view of Levinson.

Levinson discloses the use of a filter assembly wherein a paper filter is supported between two foam rings 14, 15.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute the compressible rings of Card with that disclosed in Levinson, in order to provide an alternative material for the rings.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Card in view of Monnet.

Monnet discloses the use of a lid having an aperture for the passage of a plunger element which is attached to a filter membrane 5.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the vessel of Card with the lid disclosed in Monnet, in order to prevent liquid from spilling from the vessel.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,416

Art Unit: 1761

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 11-20 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 25 January 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The "relatively rigid" formation of the layers does not prohibit them from being compressed when forced through a vessel of diminishing cross-sectional size. The teaching of Card is that of a filter assembly which has the capability of changing its size.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla 15 March 2007 Reginald L. Ålexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761 Page 5